

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/760,593	01/16/2001	Toru Ishii	P/2007-76	4296
75	90 11/05/2003	,	EXAMI	NER
Steven I. Weisburd, Esq.			NGUYEN, PHONG H	
Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1177 Avenue of the Americas 41 St Floor New York,, NY 10036-2714				- I'AI ER NOMBER
			3724 DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003	[[

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/760,593	ISHII ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Phong H Nguyen	3724			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin  - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	<u>April 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ TI	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers  ON The specification is abjected to by the Examine	or				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 April 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	its have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in Applicati	on No			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)	, ,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. Claims 1 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities: in both claims, line 6, "plurality" should be --a plurality--. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Falstrom (1,801,490). Falstrom teaches a punching apparatus comprising a punch 9, a die 10 and fitting jigs 6. See Figs. 1-7. It is noted that the punch 9 and the die 10 are rotatable independently with respect to the moving part 1 and the supporting part 2 and are not rotatable relative to each other as they touch the fitting jigs.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 2 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Falstrom (1,801,490) in view of France (4,610,185). Falstrom teaches everything but

Art Unit: 3724

8.

does not teach a punched hole having a non-circular cross section. France teaches a punched hole having a non-circular cross section. See Fig. 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to provide a variety of hole shapes such as non-circular cross sectional holes as taught by France so that manufactures can provide a wide range of punched hole shapes for their customers.

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 7 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Holliday et al. (5,730,039), Nakamura (4,555,840) and Gargrave et al. (3,848,494) teach fitting jigs of general interest.
  - Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phong H Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-4989. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

October 29, 2003

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700